2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

S.L. ANDERSON & SONS, INC., et al.,

CASE NO. C18-0742-JCC

Plaintiffs,

ORDER

Defendants.

Pursuant to the parties' stipulation and proposed order regarding discovery of electronically stored information ("ESI") (Dkt. No. 59), the Court ENTERS the following protective order:

A. **General Principles**

v.

PACCAR, INC., et al.,

- 1. An attorney's zealous representation of a client is not compromised by conducting discovery in a cooperative manner. The failure of counsel or the parties to litigation to cooperate in facilitating and reasonably limiting discovery requests and responses raises litigation costs and contributes to the risk of sanctions.
- 2. The proportionality standard set forth in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(1) must be applied in each case when formulating a discovery plan. To further the application of the proportionality standard in discovery, requests for production of ESI and related responses should be reasonably targeted, clear, and as specific as possible.

ORDER C18-0742-JCC PAGE - 1

B. ESI Disclosures

Within thirty (30) days after the Rule 26(f) conference, or at a later time if agreed to by the parties, each party shall disclose:

- 1. <u>Custodians</u>. The five custodians most likely to have discoverable ESI in their possession, custody, or control. The custodians shall be identified by name, title, connection to the instant litigation, and the type of the information under his/her control.
- 2. <u>Non-Custodial Data Sources</u>. A list of non-custodial data sources (*e.g.*, shared drives, servers, etc.), if any, likely to contain discoverable ESI.
- 3. Third-Party Data Sources. A list of third-party data sources, if any, likely to contain discoverable ESI (*e.g.*, third-party email and/or mobile device providers, "cloud" storage, etc.) and, for each such source, the extent to which a party is (or is not) able to preserve information stored in the third-party data source.
- 4. <u>Inaccessible Data</u>. A list of data sources, if any, likely to contain discoverable ESI (by type, date, custodian, electronic system, or other criteria sufficient to specifically identify the data source) that a party asserts is not reasonably accessible under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(2)(B).

C. Preservation of ESI

The parties acknowledge that they have a common law obligation to take reasonable and proportional steps to preserve discoverable information in the party's possession, custody, or control. With respect to preservation of ESI, the parties agree as follows:

- 1. Absent a showing of good cause by the requesting party, the parties shall not be required to modify the procedures used by them in the ordinary course of business to back up and archive data; provided, however, that the parties shall preserve all discoverable ESI in their possession, custody, or control.
- 2. All parties shall supplement their disclosures in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(e) with discoverable ESI responsive to a particular discovery request or

mandatory disclosure where that data is created after a disclosure or response is made (unless excluded under sections (C)(3) or (D)(1)-(2) below).

- 3. Absent a showing of good cause by the requesting party, the following categories of ESI need not be preserved:
 - a. Deleted, slack, fragmented, or other data only accessible by forensics.
 - b. Random access memory (RAM), temporary files, or other ephemeral data that are difficult to preserve without disabling the operating system.
 - On-line access data such as temporary internet files, history, cache,
 cookies, and the like.
 - d. Data in metadata fields that are frequently updated automatically, such as last-opened dates (see also section (E)(5)).
 - e. Back-up data that are substantially duplicative of data that are more accessible elsewhere.
 - f. Server, system, or network logs.
 - g. Data remaining from systems no longer in use that is unintelligible on the systems in use.
 - h. Electronic data (*e.g.*, email, calendars, contact data, and notes) sent to or from mobile devices (*e.g.*, iPhone, iPad, Android, and Blackberry devices), provided that a copy of all such electronic data is routinely saved elsewhere (such as on a server, laptop, desktop computer, or "cloud" storage).

D. Privilege

- 1. With respect to privileged or work-product information generated after the filing of the complaint, parties are not required to include any such information in privilege logs.
- 2. Activities undertaken in compliance with the duty to preserve information are protected from disclosure and discovery under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(3)(A) and

4

56

7 8

9

1011

12

13 14

15

1617

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

2526

(B).

- 3. Information produced in discovery that is protected as privileged or work product shall be immediately returned to the producing party, and its production shall not constitute a waiver of such protection.
- 4. Privilege Log Based on Metadata. The parties agree that privilege logs shall include a unique identification number for each document and the basis for the claim (attorney-client privileged or work-product protection). For ESI, the privilege log may be generated using available metadata, including author/recipient or to/from/cc/bcc names, the subject matter or title, and date created. Should the available metadata provide insufficient information for the purpose of evaluating the privilege claim asserted, the producing party shall include such additional information as required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

E. ESI Discovery Procedures

- 1. <u>On-Site Inspection of Electronic Media</u>. Such an inspection shall not be permitted absent a demonstration by the requesting party of specific need and good cause or by agreement of the parties.
- 2. <u>Search Methodology</u>. The parties shall timely attempt to reach agreement on appropriate search terms, or an appropriate computer- or technology-aided methodology, before any such effort is undertaken. The parties shall continue to cooperate in revising the appropriateness of the search terms or computer- or technology-aided methodology.

In the absence of agreement on appropriate search terms, or an appropriate computer- or technology-aided methodology, the following procedures shall apply:

a. A producing party shall disclose the search terms or queries, if any, and methodology that it proposes to use to locate ESI likely to contain discoverable information. The parties shall meet and confer to attempt to reach an agreement on the producing party's search terms and/or other methodology.

16

20 21 22

23 24

25 26

- b. If search terms or queries are used to locate ESI likely to contain discoverable information, a requesting party is entitled to no more than five additional terms or queries to be used in connection with further electronic searches absent a showing of good cause or agreement of the parties. The five additional terms or queries, if any, must be provided by the requesting party within 14 days of receipt of the producing party's production.
- Focused terms and queries should be employed; broad terms or queries, c. such as product and company names, generally should be avoided. Absent a showing of good cause, each search term or query returning more than 250 megabytes of data is presumed to be overbroad, excluding Microsoft PowerPoint files, image and audio files, and similarly large file types.
- d. The producing party shall search both non-custodial data sources and ESI maintained by the custodians identified above.
- 3. Format. The parties agree that ESI will be produced to the requesting party with searchable text, in a format to be decided between the parties. Acceptable formats include, but are not limited to, native files, multi-page TIFFs (with a companion OCR or extracted text file), single-page TIFFs (only with load files for e-discovery software that includes metadata fields identifying natural document breaks and also includes companion OCR and/or extracted text files), and searchable PDF. Unless otherwise agreed to by the parties, files that are not easily converted to image format, such as spreadsheet, database, and drawing files, should be produced in native format.
- 4. <u>De-duplication</u>. The parties may de-duplicate their ESI production across custodial and non-custodial data sources after disclosure to the requesting party.
- 5. Metadata Fields. If the requesting party seeks metadata, the parties agree that only the following metadata fields need be produced: document type; custodian and duplicate

```
1
    custodians; author/from; recipient/to, cc, and bcc; title/subject; file name and size; original file
 2
    path; date and time created, sent, modified, and/or received; and hash value.
 3
    Presented by:
 4
    HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP
 5
    By s/Steve W. Berman
 6
        Steve W. Berman (WSBA No. 12536)
 7
     s/Jerrod C. Patterson
     Jerrod C. Patterson (WSBA No. 43325)
 8
    1301 Second Avenue, Suite 2000
    Seattle, WA 98101
     Telephone: (206) 623-7292
    Facsimile: (206) 623-0594
10
     steve@hbsslaw.com
11
    jerrodp@hbsslaw.com
12
     James C. Shah (Pro Hac Vice)
    Natalie Finkelman Bennett (Pro Hac Vice)
13
    SHEPHERD, FINKELMAN, MILLER &
14
      SHAH, LLP
    475 White Horse Pike
15
     Collingswood, NJ 08107
     Tel: 856-858-1770
16
    Fax: 866-300-7367
    jshah@sfmslaw.com
17
    nfinkelman@sfmslaw.com
18
    James E. Cecchi (Pro Hac Vice)
19
    Caroline F. Bartlett (Pro Hac Vice)
     CARELLA, BYRNE, CECCHI, OLSTEIN, BRODY & AGNELLO, P.C.
20
    5 Becker Farm Road
    Roseland, NJ 07068
21
     (973) 994-1700
22
    jcecchi@carellabyrne.com
     cbartlett@carellabyne.com
23
    Richard J. Burke (Pro Hac Vice)
24
     Zachary A. Jacobs (Pro Hac Vice)
     OUANTUM LEGAL LLC
25
     513 Central Avenue, Suite 300
26
    Highland Park, IL 60035
    ORDER
```

C18-0742-JCC PAGE - 6

1	Telephone: 847-433-4500 rich@qulegal.com
2	zachary@qulegal.com
3	Attorneys for Plaintiffs s/ William R. Squires III
4	William R. Squires III, WSBA No. 4976
5	CORR CRONIN LLP
	1001 Fourth Avenue, Suite 3900 Seattle, Washington 98154-1051
6	Telephone: (206) 625-8600
7	Fax: (206) 625-0900
8	E-mail: rsquires@corrcronin.com
9	Anthony Pisciotti (pro hac vice)
	Clifford M. Laney (pro hac vice)
10	Danny Lallis (pro hac vice) PISCIOTTI MALSCH
11	30 Columbia Turnpike, Suite 205
12	Florham Park, NJ 07932 Telephone: 973-245-8100
	Fax: 973-245-8101
13	Email: apisciotti@pmlegalfirm.com
14	claney@pmlegalfirm.com dlallis@pmlegalfirm.com
15	diams & principalitim.com
16	Attorneys for Defendants
17	
18	PURUSANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.
19	DATED this 1st day of April 2019.
20	
	John C Coyler an
21	10h Coyher a
22	John C. Coughenour
23	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
24	
25	
26	

ORDER C18-0742-JCC PAGE - 7